

## Approved For Release 2002/06/14 : CIA-RDP82-0025712000200030076-8-

## COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

December 19, 1967

the state of the factor of the same

en and the state of the state o

Mrs. Mry M. Rydquist Anthorized Cortifying Officer Durcan of Land Management Denver Service Center, Building 50 Department of the Interior Denver, Colorado 80225

Dear Mrs. Rydquist:

Your letter of Movember 1, 1967, reference (733b-2), with enclosures, requests our advice concerning the propriety of a collection bill for \$205.04 issued against an employee of the Bureau of Land Management predicated upon an administrative view that he was allowed excess travel and transportation expenses incident to home leave taken in the continental United States.

The employee involved whose "place of actual residence" appears to have been Washington, D.C., was authorized by travel order dated Sepember 9, 1966, to take home leave in the continental United States un-Ger section 4, Bureau of the Budget Circular No. A-56, June 1, 1962, or section 7 of the current circular, after completion of a tour of duty in Anchorage, Alaska:

The employee elected not to travel to his place of actual residence for leave but spent substantially all of his leave at Las Vegas, Movada. The employee and numbers of his immediate family departed Anchorage on various dates from September 18 through December 3, 1966. Some members accompanied the employee to Las Vegas and thence croveled to Detroit, Michigan, before returning to Anchorage. Other machiners traveled directly from Anchorage to Detroit or Dayton, Chio. Another remained with the employee in Las Veges and returned with him to Anchorage via Reno, San Francisco, and Seattle.

The travel was performed largely by air and the value of the transportation requests used is understood to have been in the aggregate amount of \$1,942.85.

You express the view that by virtue of the employee having selected Las Vegas as the location other than his place of actual residence at which to spend his home leave his entitlement to trans-Portation at Government expense for members of his immediate family

Approved For Release 2002/06/14: CIA-RDP82-00357R000200030076-8

allostra

3-162852

would be limited to their constructive travel by the direct usually braveled route between Anchorage and Las Vegas. Thus, you propose to allow credit for transportation of the employee and his family between Anchorage and Las Vegas, \$1,739.00. On that basis the overpayment would be \$203.85 (\$1,942.85 - \$1,739), plus transportation tax of \$10.19 on the excess cost, less a credit of \$9 for taxicabs, or a net indeptedness of \$205.04.

We do not appear to have had occasion to decide the precise question raised by your letter. The statutory authority for allowance by the Government of the travel and transportation expenses of an employer in connection with home leave now is found in 5 U.S.C. 5728. So far as here pertinent, the statutory language provides for the payment of the expenses of round-trip travel of the employee and the transportation of his immediate family to the place of his actual residence at the time of appointment after he has completed an exceed period of service outside the continental United States and is returning to his actual place of residence to take leave before serving another tour of duty outside the continental United States.

The statutory regulations currently in section 7 of the Bureau of the Budget Circular No. A-56, Revised October 12, 1966, provide that an employee and his immediate family shall be allowed expenses for travel from his post of duty to the place of his actual residence at the time of his appointment, and return, or, in the alternative, if leave is taken at "another location" the amount allowed for travel and transportation expenses shall not exceed that which would be allowed for travel between the post of duty and the place of actual residence. To the same effect see section 4 of Bureau of the Budget Circular No. A-56, dated April 30, 1962, and section 27(b) of Bureau of the Budget Circular No. A-4, dated May 2, 1955.

In 37 Comp. Gen. 113, 115 (1957) we said:

poses to places of actual residence. As recognized in 35 Comp. Gen. 246, that phrase is not viewed as requiring travel to the actual residence before the travel expenses are allowable. By its terms, however, the statutory regulations, above, authorize travel only to another location. The regulations do not provide for travel to various locations for personal convenience. Thus, the

regulations permit election of an elternate destination, thich ordinarily should be specified to edvance of the travel. The cost proble by the foverement for travel to the alternate location is readulated to cost actually incremed and pay not exceed constructive cost to actual place of residence." (Underscoring supplied.)

In offect we ruled that under the regulations the employee who dects not to return to the place of actual residence may select a without place to agend his leave by which the Government's obligapich for payment of trevel expenses may be precisely measured, but not a plurality of places.

In connection with the home leave travel of an employee there is no local privity between the Government and the members of his impediate family and it follows that such members may not either at the direction of the employee or by personal choice obligate the Government to pay for transportation in excess of that allowable to the employee by reason of his choice to use his leave at a point less distant than his place of actual residence. See B-137605, March 17, 1961.

Therefore, any travel or transportation expense allowed the conloyed for his travel or that of his family in excess of the cost of travel or transportation between Anchorage, Alaska, and has Veges, Nevede, would be unsuthorized.

The enclosures transmitted with your letter are returned herewith and it is our opinion that the mothod used in computing the everyzyment to the employee is correct.

Sincerely yours, 

was in the state of the second miles

FRANK H. WEITZEL.

Comptroller General
of the United States